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Brief Methodology - Appendix 1 at end

Political polarization is at one of its highest levels in our nation's history. This project explores this circumstance, with particular attention to how it affects Oregon politics and policy. Our objective is to better understand the policy issues that divide us, but more importantly, where we find unity and room for progress.

## Pilot Project Research Questions:

- How politically polarized are we?
- How much common ground is there?

Following is a summary explanation of this stage of research.

Phase 1: To get at this topic, we began by asking 1103 registered voters a battery of 12 political ideology questions developed by Pew Research Center (Table 1):


Internet based respondents were asked to choose their statement preference out of each pair of statements using "feel strongly" or "lean towards" in an online survey. Statistical software was employed to analyze the answer choices of the 1103 registered voters, which disaggregated respondents into affinity clusters based on common answer tendencies, shown below in the Typology Scoring Table (Table 2). The liberal and conservative paired statements are displayed on the left (blue; liberal-leaning) and right (red; conservative-leaning) sides of the matrix table (Table 2). The colored cells within the twelve row by eight column matrix represent how strongly people are on a liberal - conservative spectrum; dark blue being the most liberal, light blue leaning liberal, light red leaning conservative, and darker red being the most conservative. Cell scoring is the average response (on a scale from -3 to +3 ) for each paired statement for each cell in the cluster, of which we have assigned archetype names (in diagonal yellow at the top). Beyond the 12 core typology questions, the survey also included 60 additional questions relating to social and demographic topics so we can gain a more detailed picture of who voters are and where their values reside. (see Appendix 1 for a visual interpretation of reading Tables 2 and 3).

Table 2. Oregon Political Culture 12 Item Typology Scoring Table

| Table 2. Oregon Political |
| :--- |

## Quick-take findings from Table 2:

- The two ideologically consistent core liberal and conservative clusters represent only $30 \%$ of these registered voters
- The six middle political clusters, consisting of the remaining 70\% of these registered voters, do not exhibit uniform ideology, but rather a checkerboard of conservative and liberal beliefs
- Issues that exhibit liberal leanings: corporate greed (row 4), acceptance of homosexuality (row 6), and unfair economic system (row 11)
- Issues that exhibit conservative leaning: resistance of support for the poor (row 2), value of hard work (row 7), and sufficiency of efforts to address black inequality (row 10)
- The "Cluster Column Score" confirms Oregon's politically left tilt with strongest - 28 liberal and strongest 20 conservative scores

Phase 2: In addition to the findings from the 12 typology questions Pew tested, we ran sixty additional questions, some identical to Pew and some unique. Table 3 (below), which reads like Table 2, displays 13 additional typology questions for a total of 25 typology variables, as well as standard demographic questions.

## Quick-take findings from Table 3 (next page):

- Additional issues that exhibit broad liberal characteristics: American consumption (row 13), gun regulations (row 17), campaign finance limits (row 18), tiny home building rules (row 24), opioid treatment (row 25)
- Additional issue that exhibit broad conservative characteristic: government inefficiency (row 23)

Note on reading Table 3: Table 3's initial 12 typology questions are identical to Table 2 although these 12 variables score differently than the Table 2 twelve items because the Table 3 cluster analysis draws from 25 variables instead of 12, creating different cluster compositions. The Table 2 assigned cluster names were faithful to Pew's eight cluster titles because of strong comparability to Pew scores but Table 3 cluster output was sufficiently different which required renaming several cluster titles. It is noteworthy that Table 2 "Solid Liberal" and "Core Conservative" clusters total $21 \%$ and $9 \%$ whereas Table 3 totals $17 \%$ and $17 \%$ respectively. Furthermore the liberal/conservative cluster respondent counts ( $n$ ) in Table 2 is $n=227$ and 94 respectively while Table 3 is $n=192$ and 184 respectively. The comparatively close Table 3 Liberal-Conservative balance was unintentional although may suggest improved discriminate opportunity for considering ideological divisions. Nonetheless, the total cluster column mean score for the 25 variables on Solid Liberal and Core Conservative clusters is -53 and +31 , affirming Oregon's political culture blue (liberal) slant.

## Liberal-leaning statements ( - )

## 1 <br> 

2 "Poor people have hard lives because government benefits

## 3 *Immigrants today strengthen our country because of their 3 hard work and talents.

| 4 | *Business corporations make too much profit. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | *Stricter environmental laws and regulations are worth the <br> cost | | 5 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { *Stric } \\ \text { cost. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

6 *Homosexuality should be accepted by society.
7 *Hard work and determination are no guarantee of success
for most people.
*In foreign policy, the U.S. should take into account the
8 interests of its allies even if it means making compromises with them.
9 "It's best for the future of our country to be active in world affairs.
*Our country needs to continue making changes to give black
10 people equal rights with whites.
11 "The economic system in this country unfairly favors
11 powerful interests.
12 "There are still significant obstacles that make it harder for
12 women to get ahead than men.

| 13 | Our country would be better off if we all consumed less. |
| :---: | :--- |

14 *Society is just as well off if people have priorities other than 14 marriage and children.
15 *Success in life is pretty much determined by forces outside

| 16 | "People in this country should learn to live with less. |
| :--- | :--- |



17 Combat-style firearms should be illegal to buy or own by the
general public.
18 A federal constitutional amendment should be passed to
18 regulate unlimited money in political campaigns.
19 It's high time that inappropriate or offensive sexual verbal or
19 physical gestures become socially unacceptable.
20 Toxic political discourse risks destroying our democracy.
21 (Which you prefer:) Bigger government providing more
21 services
22 *Racial discrimination is the main reason why many black
22 people can't get ahead these days.
23 *Government often does a better job than people give it
23 credit for.
24 Building codes which discourage tiny homes should be
24 reformed.
The addictive drug and opioid epidemic in our country
25 requires a broader understanding about root causes and treatment of addiction.

| Cluster column score | -53.48 | -30.78 | -23.67 | -5.09 | -3.17 | -2.12 | 2.76 | 31.35 | -84.2 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cluster $n$ count | 191 | 145 | 139 | 91 | 101 | 129 | 122 | 184 | 1103 |
| Cluster $\%$ of total | $17 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Cluster $\%$ of total | $17 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


|  | Supplemental Survey Responses | \% within cluster column membership |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Prefer Republican candidates ( $\mathrm{n}=449,41 \%$ of sample) | 1\% | 11\% | 12\% | 54\% | 49\% | 70\% | 64\% | 98\% |
| 27 | Very Dissatisfied with Trump ( $n=588 ; 53 \%$ of sample) | 99\% | 87\% | $82 \%$ | 47\% | 40\% | 26\% | 30\% | 2\% |
| 28 | Unaffiliated or independent ( $\mathrm{n}=310 ; 28 \%$ of sample) | 23\% | 25\% | 36\% | 22\% | 41\% | 29\% | 33\% | 23\% |
| 29 | \% Male ( $n=483 ; 44 \%$ of sample) | 40\% | 36\% | 39\% | 50\% | 35\% | 64\% | 34\% | 53\% |
| 30 | 18-34 ( $\mathrm{n}=246 ; 22 \mathrm{~F}$ of sample) | 16\% | 19\% | 23\% | 34\% | 31\% | 24\% | 26\% | 16\% |
| 31 | Age 65+ ( $\mathrm{n}=275 ; 25 \%$ of sample) | 24\% | 29\% | 18\% | 29\% | 19\% | 29\% | 26\% | 27\% |
| 32 | \% with at least a 4 -year college degree ( $n=343 ; 31 \%$ of sample)$>31 \%$ of Americans have 4.yr or higher (Census 2016) | 42\% | 43\% | $22 \%$ | 28\% | 20\% | 39\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| 33 | Income in 2016 \$75,000 or more ( $\mathrm{n}=343,31 \%$ of sample) | 21\% | 41\% | 24\% | 22\% | 30\% | 34\% | 35\% | 39\% |
| 34 | Discuss politics nearly every day ( $\mathrm{n}=278 ; 25 \%$ of sample) | 46\% | 31\% | 18\% | 16\% | 5\% | 24\% | 16\% | 27\% |
| 35 | Republican Party policy threatens nation's well-being ( $n=406$; $37 \%$ of sample) | 79\% | 62\% | 47\% | 30\% | 21\% | 20\% | 17\% | 2\% |
| 36 | Democratic Party policy threatens nation's well-being ( $\mathrm{n}=218$; 20\% of sample) | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 25\% | 11\% | 26\% | 20\% | 64\% |
| 37 | Both parties threaten the nation's well-being ( $\mathrm{n}=298 ; 27 \%$ of sample) (yellow cells $>10 \%$ above average) | 18\% | 23\% | 37\% | 16\% | 46\% | 31\% | 28\% | 23\% |
| 38 | Solid evidence, human-caused climate change ( $n=565 ; 51 \%$ of sample) | 97\% | 83\% | 75\% | 30\% | 33\% | 25\% | 37\% | 9\% |
| 39 | No gas tax revenue should be spent on reducing car travel ( $\mathrm{n}=244$; $22 \%$ of sample) | 3\% | 8\% | 14\% | 10\% | 23\% | 30\% | 25\% | 58\% |
| 40 | Join Quebec, California, and Ontario Strong + Lean Support ( $\mathrm{n}=582$; 53\% of sample (26\% undecided)) | 96\% | 83\% | 70\% | 41\% | 26\% | 31\% | 50\% | 10\% |

*Pulled from Pew Research political typology and ideology projects

## Appendix 1. Interpreting the Typology Table, upper portion:



## Lower Portion of Table 3:



[^0]
## Appendix 2

Methodology Summary:

1. Examined Pew Research's $2014(N=10,000)$ and $2017(N=5000)$ typology and ideology study reports ${ }^{1,2}$
2. Acquired raw data from Pew's 2014 and 2017 typology surveys and ran analyses to replicate methods and findings
3. Designed and ran a unique PI pilot survey using a select group of Pew and PI questions on a sample population of those living in states that are in line with the Oregon political culture of active voters (OR, WA, CA, CO; conducted December 2017)
4. Ran analyses on Pl's pilot survey data ( $N=1103$ registered voters) for comparisons to Pew and to explore the data for confirmatory or unique findings
5. Compiled results in a report for the purpose of sharing useful results to interested researchers and policymakers

Pew's A-B statement choices as true indicators of liberal-conservative attitudes are debatable, but because of Pew's large research team, reputation, and decades of experience, we accept them as a jumping off point for exploration. With survey results on the A-B choice questions set to a 4-point scale, we conducted a k-means cluster analysis using SPSS software to disaggregate respondents into discrete groupings based on similar response characteristics, much like how people who share commonalities might cluster together in a social setting. We examined groupings ranging from 3 to 9 clusters, looking for the least number of groupings which gave clear distinctions of useful categorization. We subjectively determined that six and eight cluster selections provided the best explanations, arriving at 8 clusters providing maximum explanatory power. Coincidently, Pew Research also preferred eight clusters

This project surveyed 1200 registered voters from four states, which was reduced to a sample size of 1103 after we removed invalided surveys. Of the 1103 respondents, 518 are registered to vote in Oregon. The four states, Oregon, Washington, California and Colorado, were mainly chosen to increase respondent numbers as well as to examine how Oregon might share political values with specific western states for collaborative purposes. This comports with political scientist Daniel Elazar's formulation of three U.S. political cultures ${ }^{3}$; Oregon groups with 17 other states that Elazar considers culturally "moralistic." We specifically selected CA, WA and CO because of shared policies such as vote-by-mail and the state constitutional limits of road fuel tax revenue allocation. This survey used two different internet audience suppliers: Amazon's Mechanical Turk (MTurk) and Research Now SSI (RN), a major market research audience provider. Demographics were evaluated for representativeness to Oregon for age, race, gender, income, political identity and education. Of these, only education level was deemed deficient with a shortage of those without a college degree (as is common in most opinion surveying), resulting in a decision to weight education level to a representative proportion in line with census statistics.

We consider this survey and report a pilot project, meaning we intend to run a refined survey in the near future. Nonetheless the 1103 current respondents are real people and the study findings are valid as-is. We are accepting critique and suggestions going forward toward the next phase of this typology exploration for Oregon.

The complete unabridged survey questions and results are available on request at info@policyinteractive or at http://www.policyinteractive.org/public/PI Nov Dec17TypoToplines7.12.28Final(4).pdf.
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[^1]
[^0]:    Supplenental Survey Response rows provide insights on cluster archetype membership characteristics. Fotexample, for row 26 , observe that 449 of 1103 ( $41 \%$ of the sample) responded that they prefer Republican candidates although within the individual archetypes 1\% of Solid Liberals prefer Republican candidates, and $98 \%$ Core Conservatives prefer Republican candidates. This confers validation that cluster assignments conform to conventional expectations. Similarly, row 28 exhibits $44 \%$ of the total sample is male and the male gender percentage within Core Liberals is $40 \%$ (below average) and $64 \%$ of the Market Skeptic R's archetype are male (well above average). A full evaluation of the breakouts by typological archetype is available within cross tabulations, on request.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pew - Beyond Red and Blue 2014
    ${ }^{2}$ Pew - Deep Fissures Left and Right 2017
    ${ }^{3}$ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daniel_J._Elazar

