## **Oregon HB3470 Survey Top-lines**

Telephone Random Sample from Oregon Voter Registration Files PolicyInteractive Research and Information Alliance, May 12-17, 2015 N=402 Margin of Error  $\pm 4.9\%$  unless otherwise noted (95% CI)

Oregon representativeness quota: age, gender, political party & geography by congressional district Inquiries: info@policyinteractive.org

Q1. Do you think things in Oregon are going in the right direction or are things on the wrong track?	COUNT	PERCENT
Right direction	170	42.3%
Wrong track	149	37.1%
(DO NOT READ) in-between, so-so, depends on details, etc	61	20.6%

Q2a. All in all, how satisfied are you with the following Oregon policy makers attention to the important issues we face today? [choices randomized] prompt to scale:	Q2A Oregon Governor Kate Brown	Q2B US Sen Jeff Merkley	Q2D Oregon Legislature
Very dissatisfied	13.2%	15.9%	16.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	10.2%	12.4%	20.6%
Neutral	30.6%	22.6%	25.9%
Somewhat satisfied	16.2%	21.1%	25.6%
Very satisfied	14.4%	18.4%	6.7%
(DO NOT READ) other response, don't know	15.4%	9.5%	4.2%

Q3. Which of these two statements do you agree with more?	N	PERCENT	AGG.%
Strongly A. Economic Growth Priority	77	19.2%	40.3%
Lean A. Economic Growth Priority	89	22.1%	40.5 / 0
Lean B. Protect Environment Priority	83	20.6%	50.0%
Strongly B. Protect Environment Priority	118	29.4%	30.0 / 0
(DO NOT READ) Don't know, Other	35	8.7%	8.7%

4. From what you've read and heard, is there solid evidence that the average temperature on earth has been getting warmer over the past few decades, or not. Would you say READ FROM LIST	N	PERCENT
Yes, solid evidence. Mostly because of human activity such as burning fossil fuels	195	48.5%
Yes, solid evidence. Mostly because of natural patterns in earth's environment	105	26.1%
No solid evidence, we just don't know enough yet	60	14.9%
It's just not happening	26	6.5%
(DO NOT READ) Don't know	16	4.0%

Q5. How much do you agree or disagree with this statement: there should be stronger government policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	N	PERCENT	AGGREGATE PERCENT
Strongly agree	180	44.8%	69.7%
Somewhat agree	100	24.9%	09.776
Somewhat disagree	49	12.2%	28.4%
Strongly disagree	65	16.2%	20.470
(DO NOT READ) Don't know	6	2%	2%

Q6. In 2007 Oregon's legislature adopted greenhouse reduction goals. Oregon agencies report these goals are not being met. With this in mind:

Would you support or oppose Oregon legislation to enforce existing state greenhouse gas reduction goals by adopting policy found to be successful in other states? Would you:	N	PERCENT	AGGREGATE PERCENT
Strongly Oppose	66	16.4%	30.3%
Lean toward Oppose	56	13.9%	30.3 76
Lean toward Support	112	27.9%	64.2%
Strongly Support	146	36.3%	04.2 /0
Undecided ((DO NOT READ): neutral, don't know, need more information, etc)	12	4.3%	4.3%

Q7. Now I will read eight different components of a law being considered to get Oregon's greenhouse gas reductions on track. For each statement I read, I will ask you if it decreases, does not change or increases your support if it is included in a state policy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

	a m a state poney to rec				
7.1 Require the state environmental agency to develop and implement an action plan for meeting emission					
reductions based on th	e best available science	<del>)</del> .			
	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Don't know	
Frequency	22	210	149	21	
Percent	5.5	52.2	37.2	5.2	
7.2 Emission limits would apply to approximately 40 businesses in Oregon that are the largest producers of					
greenhouse gas emissi	ons, targeting 85% of e	missions statewide.			
	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Don't know	
Frequency	24	190	177	11	
Percent	6.0	47.3	44.0	2.7	
7.3 Fairly and gradual	ly price emissions from	these large businesses	with revenue invested in	n statewide	
conservation, renewab	ole energy and efficienc	y projects.			
	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Don't know	
Frequency	38	194	155	15	
Percent	9.5	48.3	38.6	3.7	
7.4 Authorize a marke	t based incentive progra	am creating economic in	ncentives for early and	voluntary emission	
reductions seen to be	working successfully el	sewhere.			
	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Don't know	
Frequency	54	166	171	11	
Percent	13.4	41.3	42.5	2.7	
7.5 Emission limits ar	e based on ongoing rese	earch by a panel of 3000	qualified climate scien	tists, updated every	
five years.					
	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Don't know	
Frequency	26	191	175	10	
Percent	6.5	47.5	43.5	2.5	
7.6 Safeguards are est	ablished to protect vuln	erable low income com	munities.		
	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Don't know	

Frequency	49	174	160	19		
Percent	12.2	43.3	39.8	4.7		
7.7 It would link with a regional group of western states and two Canadian provinces for greater effectiveness,						
forming the fifth large	st economy in the world	d, to provide leadership	for the rest of the coun	try.		
	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Don't know		
Frequency	40	167	181	14		
Percent	10.0	41.5	45.0	3.5		
7.8 The proposal crea	tes legislation proven b	y seven other states to e	enhance job and econom	nic growth with		
emission reductions.						
	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Don't know		
Frequency	54	161	183	4		
Percent	13.4	40.0	45.5	1.0		

This table shows shift patterns in categories shown, drawn from the above question set response form.

Q7.1-78 COMPONENTS OF HB3470 Analysis of individual voter support from their prior view of support on Q6.	oppose & undeci d. to support	support and undeci d. to oppose	oppose to stronge r oppose	support to stronge r support	oppose to don't know	support to don't know	not change	NET key change
7.1 Require the state environmental agency to develop and implement an action plan for meeting emission reductions based on the best available science.	4%	1%	8%	29%	2%	2%	53%	+1%
7.2 Emission limits would apply to approximately 40 businesses in Oregon that are the largest producers of greenhouse gas emissions, targeting 85% of emissions statewide.	4%	2%	9%	34%	1%	1%	48%	+1%
7.3 Fairly and gradually price the emissions from these large businesses, with revenue invested in statewide conservation, renewable energy and efficiency projects.	7%	3%	9%	29%	1%	2%	49%	+2%
7.4 Authorize a market based incentive program creating economic incentives for early and voluntary emission reductions seen to be working successfully elsewhere.	13%	1%	6%	36%	0%	1%	42%	+11%
7.5 Emission limits are based on ongoing research by a panel of 3000 qualified climate scientists, updated every five years.	5%	1%	9%	34%	0%	1%	48%	+3%
7.6 Safeguards are established to protect vulnerable low income communities.	10%	2%	6%	33%	3%	1%	44%	+7%
7.7 It would link with a regional group of western states and two Canadian provinces for greater effectiveness, forming the fifth largest economy in the world, to provide leadership for the rest of the country.	7%	3%	11%	33%	1%	1%	42%	+3%
7.8 The proposal creates legislation proven by seven other states to enhance job and economic growth along with emission reductions.	12%	2%	7%	38%	0%	0%	40%	+10%

Q8. If these eight components were applied together to obtain Oregon's 2007 climate stability goals, would you support or oppose it?	N	PERCENT OF TOTAL	AGGREGATE PERCENT
Strongly oppose	66	16.4%	26.8%
Lean toward Oppose	42	10.4%	20.070
Undecided	29	7.2%	7.2%
Lean toward Support	124	30.8%	63.9%
Strongly Support	133	33.1%	03.9%
(DO NOT READ): other answer, need more information, don't know	8	2.0%	2.0%

Q9. If revenue were collected from large greenhouse gas emitters, do you think proceeds should be:	N	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Distributed to all tax payers equally.	67	22.1%

Re-invested in projects to lower greenhouse gas emissions like renewable energy, conservation and research	272	67.7%
(DO NOT READ): Some other response	41	10.2%

## **DEMOGRAPHICS:**

GENDER	N	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Male	197	49%
Female	198	49%
Non-response/Other	7	1%

AGE	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-
18-24	41	10%
25-34	47	12%
35-54	141	35%
55-64	81	20%
65 and older	92	23%

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-
CD01	81	20%
CD02	81	20%
CD03	80	20%
CD04	80	20%
CD05	80	20%

POLITICAL PARTY	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL
Dem	158	39%
Rep	125	31%
Other party identity	119	30%

WHAT BEST DESCRIBES YOU WITH THESE TERMS?	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-
Very Conservative	65	16.2%
Lean Conservative	57	14.2%
Moderate	132	32.8%
Lean Liberal	74	18.4%
Very Liberal	54	13.4%
(DO NOT READ): Other response	20	5.0%

EDUCATION LEVEL OBTAINED	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-
Less than high school	12	3%
High school diploma or GED	91	22.6%
Two years of college work or technical trade license	113	28.1%

4 year college degree	109	27.1%
Graduate or professional degree	75	18.7%
(DO NOT READ): decline to answer	2	.5%

HOUSEHOLD INCOME (ALL MEMBERS COMBINED)	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-	
Less than \$25,000	59	14.7%	
\$25,000- below \$50,000	78	19.4%	
\$50,000- below \$75,000	68	16.9%	
\$75,000- below \$100,000	46	11.4%	
Between \$100, to \$150,000	50	12.4%	
Above \$150,000	25	6.2%	
(DO NOT READ): decline to answer	76	18.9%	

## Supplemental Table 1. Congress. District X Q8 Crosstab: If these eight components were applied together to obtain Oregon's 2007 climate stability goals, would you support or oppose it?

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRI MOE ±10%		Strongly oppose	Lean toward Oppose	Undecided	Lean toward Support	Strongly Support
1 NW Astoria Wash. County McMinnville	% within Congress.District	12.3%	18.5%	3.7%	28.4%	35.8%
2 Eastside Jackson County	% within Congress.District	17.3%	9.9%	7.4%	38.3%	27.2%
3 Portland Oregon City	% within Congress District	3.8%	7.5%	8.8%	22.5%	52.5%
4 Linn/Lane/ SW Oregon	% within Congress.District	25.0%	8.8%	10.0%	26.3%	27.5%
5 Clackamas Marion Polk Central coast	% within Congress.District	23.8%	7.5%	6.3%	38.8%	22.5%
Total Statewide <i>N</i> =402		16.4%	10.4%	7.2%	30.8%	33.1%

<sup>\*</sup> n=80 results MOE ± 10% per district segment, 95% confidence interval

## Oregon Congressional District Map

