

Oregon HB3470 Survey top-lines

Telephone Random Sample from Oregon voter registration files
PolicyInteractive Research and Information Alliance May 12-17, 2015

N=402 Margin of Error 4.9%

Oregon representativeness quota: age, gender, political party & geography by congressional district

Inquiries: info@policyinteractive.org

Q1. Do you think things in Oregon are going in the right direction or are things on the wrong track?	COUNT	PERCENT
Right direction	170	42.3%
Wrong track	149	37.1%
(DO NOT READ) in-between, so-so, depends on details, etc	61	20.6%

Q2a. All in all, how satisfied are you with the following Oregon policy makers attention to the important issues we face today? [choices randomized] prompt to scale:	Q2A Oregon Governor Kate Brown	Q2B US Sen Jeff Merkley	Q2D Oregon Legislature
Very dissatisfied	13.2%	15.9%	16.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	10.2%	12.4%	20.6%
Neutral	30.6%	22.6%	25.9%
Somewhat satisfied	16.2%	21.1%	25.6%
Very satisfied	14.4%	18.4%	6.7%
(DO NOT READ) other response, don't know	15.4%	9.5%	4.2%

Q3. Which of these two statements do you agree with more?	N	PERCENT	AGG.%
Strongly A. Economic Growth Priority	77	19.2%	40.3%
Lean A. Economic Growth Priority	89	22.1%	
Lean B. Protect Environment Priority	83	20.6%	50.0%
Strongly B. Protect Environment Priority	118	29.4%	
(DO NOT READ) Don't know, Other	35	8.7%	8.7%

4. From what you've read and heard, is there solid evidence that the average temperature on earth has been getting warmer over the past few decades, or not. Would you say... READ FROM LIST	N	PERCENT
Yes, solid evidence. Mostly because of human activity such as burning fossil fuels	195	48.5%
Yes, solid evidence. Mostly because of natural patterns in earth's environment	105	26.1%
No solid evidence, we just don't know enough yet	60	14.9%
It's just not happening	26	6.5%
(DO NOT READ) Don't know	16	4.0%

Q5. How much do you agree or disagree with this statement: there should be stronger government policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	N	PERCENT	AGGREGATE PERCENT
Strongly agree	180	44.8%	69.7%
Somewhat agree	100	24.9%	
Somewhat disagree	49	12.2%	28.4%
Strongly disagree	65	16.2%	
(DO NOT READ) Don't know	6	2%	2%

Q6. In 2007 Oregon's legislature adopted greenhouse reduction goals. Oregon agencies report these goals are not being met. With this in mind:

Would you support or oppose Oregon legislation to enforce existing state greenhouse gas reduction goals -- by adopting policy found to be successful in other states? Would you:	N	PERCENT	AGGREGATE PERCENT
Strongly Oppose	66	16.4%	30.3%
Lean toward Oppose	56	13.9%	
Lean toward Support	112	27.9%	64.2%
Strongly Support	146	36.3%	
Undecided ((DO NOT READ): neutral, don't know, need more information, etc)	12	4.3%	4.3%

Q7.1-78 COMPONENTS OF HB3470 Analysis of individual voter support from their prior view of support on Q6.	oppose & undecided. to support	support and undecided. to oppose	oppose to stronger oppose	support to stronger support	oppose to don't know	support to don't know	not change	NET key change
7.1 Require the state environmental agency to develop and implement an action plan for meeting emission reductions based on the best available science.	4%	1%	8%	29%	2%	2%	53%	+1%
7.2 Emission limits would apply to approximately 40 businesses in Oregon that are the largest producers of greenhouse gas emissions, targeting 85% of emissions statewide.	4%	2%	9%	34%	1%	1%	48%	+1%
7.3 Fairly and gradually price the emissions from these large businesses, with revenue invested in statewide conservation, renewable energy and efficiency projects.	7%	3%	9%	29%	1%	2%	49%	+2%
7.4 Authorize a market based incentive program creating economic incentives for early and voluntary emission reductions seen to be working successfully elsewhere.	13%	1%	6%	36%	0%	1%	42%	+11%
7.5 Emission limits are based on ongoing research by a panel of 3000 qualified climate scientists, updated every five years.	5%	1%	9%	34%	0%	1%	48%	+3%
7.6 Safeguards are established to protect vulnerable low income communities.	10%	2%	6%	33%	3%	1%	44%	+7%
7.7 It would link with a regional group of western states and two Canadian provinces for greater effectiveness, forming the fifth largest economy in the world, to provide leadership for the rest of the country.	7%	3%	11%	33%	1%	1%	42%	+3%
7.8 The proposal creates legislation proven by seven other states to enhance job and economic growth along with emission reductions.	12%	2%	7%	38%	0%	0%	40%	+10%

Q8. If these eight components were applied together to obtain Oregon's 2007 climate stability goals, would you support or oppose it?	N	PERCENT OF TOTAL	AGGREGATE PERCENT
Strongly oppose	66	16.4%	26.8%
Lean toward Oppose	42	10.4%	
Undecided	29	7.2%	7.2%
Lean toward Support	124	30.8%	63.9%
Strongly Support	133	33.1%	
(DO NOT READ): other answer, need more information, don't know	8	2.0%	2.0%

Q9. If revenue were collected from large greenhouse gas emitters, do you think proceeds should be:	N	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Distributed to all tax payers equally.	67	22.1%
Re-invested in projects to lower greenhouse gas emissions like renewable energy, conservation and research	272	67.7%
(DO NOT READ): Some other response	41	10.2%

DEMOGRAPHICS:

GENDER	N	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Male	197	49%
Female	198	49%
Non-response/Other	7	1%

AGE	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-
18-24	41	10%
25-34	47	12%
35-54	141	35%
55-64	81	20%
65 and older	92	23%

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-
CD01	81	20%
CD02	81	20%
CD03	80	20%
CD04	80	20%
CD05	80	20%

POLITICAL PARTY	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL
Dem	158	39%
Rep	125	31%
Other party identity	119	30%

WHAT BEST DESCRIBES YOU WITH THESE TERMS?	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-
Very Conservative	65	16.2%
Lean Conservative	57	14.2%
Moderate	132	32.8%
Lean Liberal	74	18.4%
Very Liberal	54	13.4%
(DO NOT READ): Other response	20	5.0%

EDUCATION LEVEL OBTAINED	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-
Less than high school	12	3%
High school diploma or GED	91	22.6%
Two years of college work or technical trade license	113	28.1%
4 year college degree	109	27.1%
Graduate or professional degree	75	18.7%
(DO NOT READ): decline to answer	2	.5%

HOUSEHOLD INCOME (ALL MEMBERS COMBINED)	-COUNT-	-% OF TOTAL-
Less than \$25,000	59	14.7%
\$25,000- below \$50,000	78	19.4%
\$50,000- below \$75,000	68	16.9%
\$75,000- below \$100,000	46	11.4%
Between \$100, to \$150,000	50	12.4%
Above \$150,000	25	6.2%
(DO NOT READ): decline to answer	76	18.9%